

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/805,161	03/19/2004	Mark W. Kimberlin	D-3054 7384		
33197 7	7590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	A, BUYAN & MULI	SINGH, SUNIL			
4 VENTURE, IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3673		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/805,161		KIMBERLIN, MARK	. W .
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Sunil Singh		3673	
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
 Failure to reply within the set or extended 	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ling date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a reply sove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min ill apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.
Status					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-fin	mal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			•		
5) Claim(s) is/are 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-15 and</u> 7) Claim(s) is/are	m(s) is/are withdrave allowed. d 17-22 is/are rejected.	vn from consider			
Application Papers					
	is/are: a) acce est that any objection to the o sheet(s) including the correcti	epted or b) obj drawing(s) be held on is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
2. Certified copie3. Copies of the capplication from		s have been rece s have been rece ity documents ha ı (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application eve been receive (a)).	on No d in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Ac	tion Summary	Par	t of Paper No /Mail Date	e 20050513

 \mathcal{M}

&D

Application/Control Number: 10/805,161

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22, the preamble of claim 22 does not commensurate with the preamble of the claim from which it depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by British document (1475682).

British document '682 discloses a system for stabilizing a surface (see Fig. 1, page 1 line 10) prone to soil erosion, the system comprising: a porous element (10,12) disposed on a surface to be stabilized; and a flowable material (see page 1 lines 30-40, (14), page 2 lines 20-35, page 2 lines 75-80) incorporated within the porous element; the system being made by anchoring (10A) the porous element to the surface and thereafter injecting the flowable material (see page 1 line 84+) into the porous element

Art Unit: 3673

6.

and thereafter allowing the flowable material to set within openings defined within the porous element (see page 1 lines 25-40, page 2 lines 20-35). The porous element is a cellular matting (see page 1 lines 20-30, page 1 lines 50-95, page 2 lines 25-30). The porous element comprises a netting material (see page 1 lines 20-30, page 1 lines 50-95, page 2 lines 25-30) comprises a netting material. The porous element comprising a reinforced fiber matting (10,12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4, 6,7,9,10,11,12, 13-15, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British document (1475682). British document '682 discloses a system for stabilizing a surface (see Fig. 1, page 1 line 10) prone to soil erosion, the system comprising: a porous element (10.12) disposed on a surface to be stabilized; and a flowable material (see page 1 lines 30-40. (14), page 2 lines 20-35, page 2 lines 75-80) incorporated within the porous element; the system being made by anchoring (10A) the porous element to the surface and thereafter injecting the flowable material (see page 1 line 84+) into the porous element and thereafter allowing the flowable material to set within openings defined within the porous element (see page 1 lines 25-40, page 2 lines 20-35). The porous element is a cellular matting (see page 1 lines 20-30, page 1 lines 50-95, page 2 lines 25-30). The

Art Unit: 3673

porous element comprises a netting material (see page 1 lines 20-30, page 1 lines 50-95, page 2 lines 25-30) comprises a netting material. The porous element comprising a reinforced fiber matting (10,12). British document discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, British document is silent about the flowable material including fibers. The examiner takes official notice that fiber reinforced concrete (cement grout) is well known and old in the art. It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify British document by including fibers in the flowable material in order to reduce plastic shrinkage cracks in the structure.

With regards to claim 22, claim 22 is considered to be a product-by-process claim, and the examiner notes that it has been held that even though product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in a product by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) see MPEP 2113.

7. Claims 1-7, 9-15, 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British document '682 in view of West et al. (US 5459181).

British document '682 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, British document lacks a flowable material comprising a mixture of fibers and a polymeric bonding material being applied using conventional seeding apparatus. White et al. teaches flowable material comprising a mixture of fibers, seeds and a polymeric

Art Unit: 3673

bonding material (see abstract) being applied using conventional seeding apparatus (see col. 2 thru col. 5). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify British document '682 by substituting the flowable material with its corresponding applicator as taught by West et al. for the flowable material and its corresponding applicator disclosed by British document '682 since this allows for planting of seeds which ultimately results in plants becoming established thus further preventing erosion.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 13 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/805,161

Art Unit: 3673

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner fund & find Charles Art Unit 3673

Page 6

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

5/13/05